Herschel-ATLAS: Studying the link between star formation and black hole accretion

David Bonfield University of Hertfordshire

on behalf of the Herschel-ATLAS consortium



Outline

- The Herschel-ATLAS Survey
- A quasar sample SDSS and 2SLAQ
- Fitting FIR luminosities
- Using "non-detections" without stacking
- Dealing with confusion
- Results
- Implications?



Motivation

- Use H-ATLAS to constrain far-infrared (star-formation) luminosities of bright, optically-selected quasars, as a function of optical (quasar) luminosity
- Previous work by Sergeant & Hatziminaoglou (2009) used large sample of quasars with heterogeneous IR data (SWIRE, MAMBO, SCUBA, IRAS, ISO)
 - Found SFR ~ $L_{QSO}^{0.44\pm0.07}$
 - assumption that SED model can correct mid-IR to total L_{IR}
- Present work aims to determine constraint on this relationship using FIR H-ATLAS data alone



Herschel ATLAS

- (Herschel Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey)
- Eventually 550 sq deg
 - NGP, SGP, equatorial (GAMA) fields
 - This work uses only ~15 sq deg of Science
 Demonstration Phase data
- PACS 100/160um, SPIRE 250/350/500 um
 - BUT only SPIRE used here to avoid contamination by warm dust heated directly by quasar



Herschel ATLAS





Quasar sample



- 372 objects from SDSS and 2SLAQ quasar samples
- luminous quasars $10^{45} \text{ erg/s} < L_{bol} < 10^{48} \text{ erg/s}$
- 2SLAQ very useful in providing fainter luminosity objects

First: Fit FIR luminosity



- Assume grey dust: beta=1.5, T=35K
- Fit to 3 SPIRE fluxes
- Allow negative luminosities (as most objects are in the noise)
 - (limits plotted here because of log scale...)

Next: Fit power law

- Assume L_{IR} ∝ L_{QSO^θ} (1+z)^ζ
 single power law describing all objects...
- Maximum likelihood fit for θ , ζ , $\Delta\theta$, $\Delta\zeta$, and normalisation
- Fit directly to **all** datapoints, including nondetections, to extract maximum information, but need to correct for asymmetric confusion noise...



Confusion

 Implicit assumption of fitting is that noise is symmetric for each object

- not true for a confusion background

- Deal with this by subtracting random pixel to symmetrise noise
- Downside is increased noise level
 - multiple random background realisations, and stacking of likelihoods











Netzer 2009 slope:

never claimed to be a fit to data (ignores upper limits)

red points (Lutz+ 2008)
 preselected to have
 sub-mm detections









Interpretation

- Evidence for a connection between L_{IR} and L_{QSO} (e.g. due to mergers)
- BUT not simple linear relationship



Interpretation

• Netzer 2009:





Interpretation

- Hopkins et al (2006) models (SF and QSO triggered by merger):
 - L_{SF} depends on gas fraction
 - L_{QSO} insensitive to gas fraction





Summary

- Herschel-ATLAS SDP field is ~15 sq deg
- We use data from SPIRE 250/350/500 micron bands to fit L_{IR}
- We use optical (rest-frame *i*-band) to determine L_{QSO}
- Constrain power law slope of L_{IR} vs L_{QSO} as 0.22 ± 0.08 for luminous quasars (assuming all quasars are the same!)

(for more details see Bonfield et al 2011, MNRAS 416, 13)

- Consistent with models where mergers trigger QSOs, with either:
 - different timescales for QSO and SF
 - variation of SF luminosity with gas content
- Full H-ATLAS survey will allow splitting of sample by L_{QSO}, z,
 - perhaps also fitting for variations in dust temperature?

