On the Search for High-Redshift H₂ emission from the Dark Ages

Phil Appleton NASA Herschel Science Center Caltech (apple@ipac.caltech.edu)

Outline

Part I-- Overview of H₂ Formation in Early universe

Part II-- Shocked Gas in the Local Universe:

Is Turbulent Feedback important in the Dark Ages? (Question for the theorists at this meeting)

Part III-- Choice of Observational Scales? Avoiding foreground galaxies versus sensitivity to possible "large scale" turbulent structure



Re-ionization Epoch 6 < z < 15?

Fan et al 2006 Gunn-Peterson Effect toward z~6 SDSS QSOs

| | | λ | (Å) | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| 7000 | 7500 | 8000 | 8500 | 1000 | 9500 |
| J1148+52 | 51 z=6.42 | | | MILL N | |
| 11030+05 | 24 = 6.28 | | -1-1-1-1-L | MA | |
| | | | | лт <u> </u> | |
| J1623+31 | 12 z=6.22 | | | M | |
| 110/01/10 | 77 - 0 20 | | | 1.1.1.1.1.1.1. | <u></u> |
| J1040+46. | 57 Z=6.20 | | J. J. | | man |
| J1250+313 | 30 2=6.13 | | A | | |
| | | | | ······································ | |
| J1602+422 | 28 z=6.07 | | ~ | man | |
| 11630 ± 40 | 12 7=6.05 | والمستر يسترابه والمستر والمستر | | ***** | · |
| | | | - Comment | merman | mather of the second |
| J1137+35 | 49 z=6.01 | | in | | |
| 10010.17 | 10 - COO | ************ | - AN | | |
| | 22 Z=0.00 | | | an manual and | man and many |
| J1306+03 | 56 z=5.99 | - F-1-st-ab | m- | | |
| | | * r + r*+ | | فجك الفشين | |
| J1335+35. | 33 z=5.95 | | handress | - | material and the second |
| J1411+12 | 17 z = 5.93 | a de adaménte de | A THE PARTY | | |
| | | | -M mm | | |
| J0840+56 | 24 z=5.85 | | Minales | . Selandarda | AL MILANIA AND |
| 10005-00 | C - 5 PE | Middan | P | A and a state of the | and but the read |
| 1000-000 | 36 2-3.85 | | A | | |
| J1436+500 | 07 z=5.83 | | Aman . | | da |
| | | | | A Marine States | - And the second |
| 10820+003 | 54 z=5.82 | | ***** | ~~~~~ | |
| J0002+25 | 50 z=5.80 | - marine and a second | m_ | | |
| | الماد السلي الحرا | managen | | | - |
| J0927+200 | 01 z=5.79 | | - | - | -ichaldin |
| 11044-01 | 25 7-5 74 | Martin and | | 1. W. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. | 1. |
| | LO 1-0.74 | manne | 14 parame | | water war |
| 7000 | 7500 | 8000 | 8500 | 8000 | 9500 |



Shull & Venkatesan 07

Strong evidence for an increasingly neutral medium beyond z > 6)

Primordial H₂/H fraction too low for spontaneous collapse of gas $\sim 10^{-6}$

FATE of Sub-galactic clouds therefore depends on rapid growth of H_2 via gas phase reactions in the early universe--probably after the gas has settled into DM haloes (see nice recent review by Piero Madau (2007)) *arXiv:0706.0123*



To make the first stars will require a molecular hydrogen phase.

Two main ways to make H₂ (e. g. Saslow & Zipoy 1967; Peebles & Dicke 1968, Lepp & Shull 1984)

H⁻ pure gas route (important at higher z -there are others at even high) H + H⁻ = H₂ + e⁻ H⁻ abundance depends critically on the electron abundance. rate $R_g = k_1 n_h^2 \epsilon_e$ where $\epsilon_e = n_e/n_h$ Note: rate for equation above not well known-yet crucial (Glover et al. 2006) Below T =200K, cooling by HD and LiH important Formation on grains (dust route--important when z < 15 T_{cmb}<50K) $R_d=1/2 n(H)v_H (n_d\sigma_d)\epsilon_{H2}S_H (Tielens \& Hollenbach 1985)$ $= k_2 \epsilon_{H2}S_H n(H)\epsilon_d n_h$ where ϵ_d =dust/gas ratio (e. g. Cazaux & Spaans 2004)



Feedback is important?

Yoshida et al. (2007) 3-d cosmology with heat/cool



FIG. 9.— Underlying dark matter density held at z = 26 when the first star is formed (left), and at z = 16 when the second-generation star(s) are formed (right), showing a significant evolution in ~ 100 Myrs. The main halo is marked by A. Halo B is a nearby massive halo, whose evolution is studied in section [5.1]. The descendant of Halo A is indicated in the right panel, where there is another halo (C) close to it. Halo C hosts the second generation star-forming gas cloud which was formed from an ionized gas.

This simulation shows that gas filaments are significantly perturbed by the first stars at very hi-z, and do not follow and clump exclusively on DM halos, nor the most massive by z = 16. The models of Mike Shull also underline the importance of smaller halos as the sites of the first cloud collapses.

Material falling back into smaller halos, supernova and other processes (the accretion itself) could drive shocks through the gas? How might infall perturb the collapse within halos? AGN feedback ?

This is a caution that our knowledge of the early universe is still very uncertain. We know the H_2 formation depends on dust fraction, ionization and the form of feedback! Its complicated!

Enhancers : Mini AGN Will they warm the medium and increase dramatically the electron density?



This could dramatically increase the H₂ formation rate through The H- route. (there are other effects which can destroy H-, e. g.) Space Infrared: Pasadena Phil Appleton NHSC

Stellar Populations are seen at Hi-z

When they formed is a subject of debate



Can we detect H₂ emission from protoclouds at high-Z? Wavelength range for key rotational line puts detection in FIR-SPACE MISSION

0-0 S(3) 9.66um z = 6-15 68-154 µm 0-0 S(1) 17.08um z = 6-15 119-272 µm

15 119-272 μm 15 196-448 μm Strongest X_{gal} lines

0-0 S(0) 28um z = 6-15

Note: ALMA will be blind to these unless significant emission at z > 15

Fiducial Luminosity

L41= 10^{41} ergs/s ~ $10^{-10}/[38*\pi^*(D_L)^2]$ W/m² Where D_L is the luminosity distance. For Λ CDM Ω_L =0.7, Ω_m =0.3, H_o=70



 $L41 = Luminosity of H_2 in$ Stephan's Quintet!







Santoro & Shull (2006) Primordial Clouds-Condense into clumps of $10^8 M_{sun}$

Recent prediction suggest that gas fragments into clumps with scale governed by the prompt metals from first SN which soon dominate the cooling:

(scale set line cooling timescale <= adiabatic free-fall time)

Detailed prediction suggest in each clump $L([OI]) \sim 1$ to 10 x L41 ergs/s (detectable with ALMA?) $L([CII]) \sim 1$ to 10 x L41 ergs/s (""") $L([H2]) \sim 1/200$ L41 ergs/s for T = 200-1000K gas This material has already accumulated into DM halos and may be quite inhomogenious. Sizes are < 1kpc. NEED LOTS OF CLUMPS TO DETECT H₂ Directly!

Huge range in model predictions



Amplification is needed Can we use a lensing cluster to image the high z H₂? Can give amplification factors of 20-100



What is the likelihood we will hit an interesting "clump"?



If we survey to main caustics of 10 major lensing systems we will explore 1000 Mpc³. (assuming we map with a 10 arcsec swath around each cluster--amplifying < kpc scale structure at z=10 ~Typical scale from models of clumps)

From Millennium Simulation we expect ~ one 10^{11} Msun halo and several hundred DM halos at z = 7, but the number drops rapidly with redshift. (At z = 10, expect only a few 10^{10} M_{sun} in 1000 Mpcs³. But how does gas and DM relate?

Observational Perspective-Why Shocks might be important

Are we underestimating the expected signal strength? Could shocks also enhance the emission? Stephan's Quintet (1*L41), 3C326 (8*L41) and Zwicky 3146 Egami et al. 2006 (60*L41!)

3C 326—H₂ Dominated Spectrum THE MOST EXTREME MOHEG SO FAR [Ogle, Antonnuci, Appleton & Whysong, ApJ (2007), 668, 699]



- Galaxy and AGN continua are weak $L(H_2) = 8 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg/s} (S(0)-S(7))$
- $L(H_2)/L(IR(_{7-1000um}) = 17\%)$
- $M(H_2)$ warm = 1.1 x 10⁹ M_{sun}



Accretion onto northern galaxy may power H₂ luminosity

Space Infrared: Pasadena Spitzer IRS, SL and Phil Appleton NHSC

The Importance of Turbulence in the High-z gas?



Sources of Turbulence?
1) Collisions between halos
2) SN Shocks and Winds from 1st massive stars?
1) Accretion flows between

- CDM Halos?
- 1) AGN Winds

WILL TURBULENCE ENHANCE THE SIGNAL LIKE THE MOHEGS?

How different is SQ from the merging of cloudy halos in early universe?



In Stephan's quintet We see large H2 power in rotational lines from ggiant shock. If DM haloes merge could They do something similar?



Limitations

Detectors/Spectrometers: Concepts like M. Bradford's WaFIRspectrometer and extremely low-noise bolometers. Promising: Ultimately we need detectors that can get down to the natural background of the Zody night sky ~ 10^{-21} W/m², for spectroscopy R~ 1000. Current detectors are not yet capable of this. Spectral Confusion: To avoid foreground contamination of the primordial signature by low luminosity galaxies will require large apertures (say D = 5 meters FWHM <= 8 arcsecs at z = 10, 8 arcsec ~ 35 kpc). This might be well matched to accretion flows and or large-scale turbulent structures where many compact H₂ clouds may lie in beam. Interferometers may provide too much resolution.

Simulations indicate that for aperture D > 4-5 meters spectral contamination is not severe unless the L_{bol} > 5 x 10⁹ L_{sun} galaxies have z distribution that is very different from the population that dominated the CIR background (next 3 slides if time)





Not much constraint on low-luminosity sources at z = 1



Ultradeep IRS survey HDF Good field 6hrs/pixel 2 x 2 arcmin Bertincount et al. (2008) These objects are quite low luminosity and A large fraction look Like Star-forming galaxies



Herschel will help us



Nearby galaxy Spectroscopy (Kingfish et al)

Long-wave Number counts And low-lum galaxies

Innovative spectrometer designs will be needed to image areas of sky containing H₂ hotspots. Ideally would need many pixels on the sky and thousands of pixels in the dispersion direction : could get away with R=600 (500km/s), 60-400 microns



NOTE 5 arcsecs = 21kpc at z = 10

Ideally would need some form of Integral Field Unit to feed a large-format FIR/Submm spectrometer w chopper

26

Matt Bradford's Z-Spec instrument

(Bradford et al. 2004)

Space Infrared: Pasadena Phil Appleton NHSC

BLISS?

Conclusions

•High-z rotational H₂ at 6 < z < 15 can only be observed in Far-IR with a large aperture cooled telescope. Technical challenges large but scientific benefits would be enormous! A large cooled telescope with imaging spectroscopic (R~1000) would be needed to beat confusion and yet keep a large beam (~5-8 arcsecs at 200µm) needed to detect collections of primordial faint objects at 6 < z < 10.

Models of H₂ emission from first and second generation single molecular clouds yield fluxes that are close to or below the limit of Detection for most realistic current models. However new discoveries (MOHEGs) in the local universe suggest that turbulence can amplify the H₂ signal. Are such processes possible at Hi-z? (Accretion and A GN driven?) If so they will be readily detectable to z = 10.
Use of lensing clusters may allow primordial cloud complexes to be amplified by factors of 10 or more (into detectible range?). Also helps to beat confusion noise.