Technology Splinter Report

Matt Bradford

Far-IR Measurement Goals

- 1) Sub-arcsecond angular resolution is required for a meaningful understanding of star and planet formation. This requires long-baseline measurements
 - 1 km baseline -> 10 mas, 30 m baseline -> 0.3 as
- 2) Far-IR background contains much of the history of galaxies, nucleosynthesis and black hole accretion. We want to identify and study the dusty galaxy populations spectroscopically with our mid and far-IR toolbox
 - Imaging is underway
 - Need spectroscopy of LIRG-class galaxies at redshift of 0.5-3.
- 3) Peering through the far-IR background populations will reveal the earliest galaxies with the first metals at and just after reionization
 - spectroscopy of LIRG or sub-LIRGs at z~5-10
- 4) Molecular hydrogen is the dominant coolant of primordial and lowmetallicity material via its rotational transitions.
 - Spectroscopy of clumps, filaments, galaxies at all z.

Technologies required for our scientific goals

Far-IR / submillimeter detectors and readouts

Observatory-level and sub-K cooling

Cryogenic Optics testing / handling cost control

Instrument / Detector Requirements

- Far-IR galaxy populations- spectroscopy: Requirements in priority order.
 - 1e-20 W / m² line sensitivity with broadband spectral coverage
 - Need detector NEP ~ 5e-20 W / sqrt(Hz)
 - Resolving power: several x100 -1000
 - Spatial multiplexing: as much as possible.
 - Most sources identified via continuum measurements
- Spectroscopy of earliest galaxies and H2
 - 1e-21--1e-22 W / m² , with broadband coverage
 - Need detector NEP ~2e-20 W / sqrt(Hz)
 - Resolving power: 1000-3000
 - Spatial multiplexing: as much as possible.



Single-device sensitivities are within reach!



Low-G TES bolometers for SPICA



Array Formats?

Interferometers: field size is limited -> doesn't drive array formats.

For single-dish cold telescopes, think BIG.

Cameras for CALISTO / SAFIR

conservative: 20,000 detectors in 4 bands

ambitious: 80,000 detectors in 6 bands. Either way: confusion-limited in seconds at. 100 microns, can survey the entire sky in ~1 year.

~ 1 order of magnitude more than state-of-the art ground-based arrays > need new multiplexing scheme.

New opportunity: full-field, dispersed spectroscopy:

- large image slicers feeding slit spectrographs
- in-situ waveguide gratings

Compact in-situ spectrographs: dielectric waveguide gratings



Dream CALISTO spectrometer detector formats

Focal plane: telescope delivers a field of hundreds of thousands of diffraction-limited beams.

Suppose we couple: 300 spatial positions X

4000 spectral elements per, this gives 1e6 detectors

(our shorter-wavelength colleagues are already in this regime)

Relative to state-of-the-art ground-based facilities, we have at least 2 orders of magnitude to gain in format.

and with the spectroscopic NEPs, and potentially high-frequency response.

HOW: Not likely time-domain multiplexed TES bolometers, but perhaps via microwave-multiplexing of: Bolometers, kinetic inductance detectors, quantum

capacitance detectors, or

New ideas?

Technology development strategy (1)

- Thus we need an ongoing technology development program in this decade to prepare us for the large missions we envision for the next decade.
- APRA program provides modest funds for some low-TRL detector work at JPL, GSFC, other places. Has borne fruit.
- But increasing array formats and complexities require increasing resources to develop -> a larger, more focused program is required for far-IR detector technology maturation.
- Stay abreast of NASA management structure, continue to lobby for long-wavelength detector program.
- Same old story.

Technology development strategy (2)

A pure technology development program is necessary but not sufficient.

- NASA's budget is tight, and CALISTO / SPIRIT / SPECS is not in front of the line.
- We are at least 1 decade away from a start on either of these \$1B class efforts.
- Pure technology doesn't sell well to Decadal committee and NASA. per Eric Smith.

The best approach to both advancing our technology, and maintaining our communities in preparation for our \$B class cryogenic missions is scientificallycompelling, affordable technology pathfinder(s) which we can build, fly and operate in the next decade.

Low-cost pathfinders?

- SOFIA instruments
- Balloon experiments

Detector backgrounds not well-matched to our cold telescope plans. 5-6 orders of magnitude higher background.

- Difficult to make science case for direct-detection instruments in the face of Herschel, SPICA.
- But possibly could help develop large array formats, focal plane coolers, and other systems-level aspects.

e.g. mid-IR balloon-borne interferometer testbed.

THE BALLOON EXPERIMENTAL TWIN TELESCOPE FOR INFRARED INTERFEROMETRY (BETTII)





Science:

- Star formation
- AGN
- Evolved Stars

BETTII provides unique and powerful scientific data while proving technologies for future space interferometers

S. RINEHART BETTII



¹⁸ Spitzer 8 micron band ¹⁶ Spitzer 8 micron band ¹⁶ 14 ²⁰ 12' 01^{*}10' ¹⁸ 30^m24^{*} 18^{*} 12^{*} 06^{*} 30^m00^{*} 54^{*} 18^{*} 30^m24^{*} 18^{*} 12^{*} 06^{*} 30^m00^{*} 54^{*}

RA (J2000)

Technology:

- Structures
- Metrology
- FIR Filters
- Mechanisms

SPICA: The World's First Large, Cryogenic Far-IR Space Telescope





US participation in SPICA?

NASA is well-poised to contribute, especially critical focal-plane aspects -- detectors, readouts.

SPICA team is keen to have US support, but mission does not require it.

Best approach for our technology program is to deliver a focal-plane instrument.

For example, BLISS, a broadband spectrograph with superconducting bolometers.

Cost on the scale of an Explorer (but not a MoO).

BLISS

full 38-430 μm coverage in 5 bands, R=700
4200 superconducting bolometers with sensitivity approaching the background limit.
cooled to 50 mK with magnetic refrigerator
two beam on the sky, modulated by cold

chopper

